

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/848,824	Applicant(s) KRANZ ET AL.
	Examiner Jordan M. Schwartz	Art Unit 2873

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Jordan M. Schwartz. (3) _____.

(2) Steven Jensen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 34

Prior art documents discussed:

Kohayakawa patent number 5,889,577

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The changes to claims 1 and 34, as set forth in the examiner's amendment, were discussed and agreed upon to distinguish from the Kohayakawa reference and otherwise place the application in condition for allowance

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jordan M. Schwartz/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)